

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/657,360 09/07/2000		99/07/2000	Motohisa Watanabe	040447/0225	1622	
22428	7590	04/07/2005		EXAMINER		
FOLEY AN	ND LARD	NER	FISCHER, ANDREW J			
SUITE 500 3000 K STR	EET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3627		
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N	,
·J	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/657,360	WATANABE, MOTOHISA		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Andrew J. Fischer	3627		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrew J. Fischer	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>21 October 2004</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. Th The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband lment, affidavit, or other evidence, veal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of to g date of the final rejection.	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri	ication in st for Continued ods:
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appwas filed on <u>04 February 2005</u> . A brief in compliance wit Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	h 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed withing the filed withing the filed withing the filed within t	n two months of the d smissal of the appeal	ate of filing the
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>4</u> . Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by			nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N		.//
			~4/1/05
		Andrew J. Fischer Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3627	